

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE:)	
)	
INTEL CORPORATION)	MDL Docket No. 05-1717 (JJF)
MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST)	
LITIGATION)	

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a)	
Delaware corporation, and AMD)	
INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICES, LTD.,)	
a Delaware corporation,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	C. A. No. 05-441 (JJF)
)	
v.)	
)	
INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,)	
and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,)	
a Japanese corporation,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself)	
and all others similarly situated,)	C.A. No. 05-485-JJF
)	
Plaintiffs,)	CONSOLIDATED ACTION
)	
v.)	
)	
INTEL CORPORATION,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

**STIPULATION EXTENDING THE TIME TO SERVE
SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM UPON THIRD PARTIES**

WHEREAS, Section 5.g) of Case Management Order No. 1 provides in part that (with the exception of those requiring use of the Hague Convention, letters rogatory, or similar process) all subpoenas duces tecum to corporate third parties requiring a comprehensive production of relevant documents will be served on or before June 15, 2006; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 5.g) of Case Management Order No. 1, the parties have been working together to coordinate third-party discovery to the maximum extent possible to minimize the burden on third parties; and

WHEREAS, on June 9, 2006, plaintiffs, Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd. (“AMD”), and defendants, Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha (“Intel”), exchanged lists of corporate third parties they intend to serve with comprehensive subpoenas duces tecum and draft templates for such subpoenas;

WHEREAS, on June 13, 2006, Intel and class plaintiffs exchanged lists of corporate third parties they intend to serve with comprehensive subpoenas duces tecum;

WHEREAS, Intel and class plaintiffs will exchange draft templates for their respective subpoenas on June 14, 2006; and

WHEREAS, in light of the identification of certain third parties by AMD and class plaintiffs, counsel for Intel has asked for additional time to consider whether Intel shall subpoena additional third parties in response, and counsel for AMD and counsel for the class have agreed to such request;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE COURT, AS FOLLOWS:

1. The time within which all subpoenas duces tecum to corporate third parties requiring a comprehensive production of relevant documents will be served (with the exception of those requiring use of the Hague Convention, letters rogatory, or similar process) pursuant to

Section 5.g) of Case Management Order No. 1 shall be extended by one week until June 22, 2006 (the "Service Deadline").

2. For purposes of Section 5.g) of Case Management Order No. 1, the parties shall be deemed to have "served" subpoenas duces tecum on or before the Service Deadline, if they initiate the service of process on or before the Service Deadline by delivering it to a process server or by similar means, even though effective or actual service may not be completed on that day.

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER

OF COUNSEL:

Charles P. Diamond, Esq.
Linda J. Smith, Esq.
Mark A Samuels, Esq.
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
(310) 246-6800

Salem M. Katsh
Laurin B. Grollman
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP
1633 Broadway, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10019

By /s/ Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Jesse A. Finkelstein (#1090)
Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
Chad M. Shandler (#3796)
Steven J. Fineman (#4025)
One Rodney Square
P. O. Box 551
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 651-7500
finkelstein@rlf.com
shandler@rlf.com
fineman@rlf.com
cottrell@rlf.com

Dated: June 14, 2006

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and
AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd.*

OF COUNSEL:

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

Robert E. Cooper
Daniel S. Floyd
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 900071
(213) 229-7000

Peter E. Moll
Darren B. Bernhard
Howrey LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue
N.W. Washington, DC 20004
(202) 783-0800

Richard A. Ripley
BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
2020 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 373-6000
Facsimile: (202) 373-6001

David M. Balabanian
Christopher B. Hockett
BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
Telephone: (415) 393-2000
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286

Dated: June 14, 2006

By: /s/ Richard L. Horwitz

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha*

PRICKETT, JONES & ELLIOTT, P.A.

OF COUNSEL
(INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL):

Michael D. Hausfeld
Daniel A. Small
Brent W. Landau
Allyson B. Baker
COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD
& TOLL, P.L.L.C.
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 500, West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005

Michael P. Lehman
Thomas P. Dove
Alex C. Turan
THE FURTH FIRM LLP
225 Bush Street, 15th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104

Steve W. Berman
Anthony D. Shapiro
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL
SHAPIRO, LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101

Guido Saveri
R. Alexander Saveri
SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.
111 Pine Street, Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94111

Dated: June 14, 2006

736663

By /s/ James L. Holzman
James L. Holzman (#663)
J. Clayton Athey (#4378)
Eric M. Andersen (#4376)
Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A.
1310 King Street
P.O. Box 1328
Wilmington, DE 19899
jlholzman@prickett.com
jcathey@prickett.com
emandersen@prickett.com

Interim Liaison Counsel

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS _____ day of June, 2006.

United States District Judge